· ·			REQUI	CIT OF S		NCIL AC DIEGO	HON		. •		(FOR AUDITOR'S U		
TO:	CITY ATTORNEY		Į.	2. FROM: (ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT) CITY PLANNING & COMMUNITY INVESTMENT DEPARTMENT					3. DATE October 21, 2008				
NORTH YEAR 2	4. SUBJECT: NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN AND FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT - FISCAL YEAR 2009												
	5. PRIMARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE, MAIL ST.) 6. SECONDARYCONTACT (NAME, PHONE, MAIL ST.) 7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED: DOWN TRACANNA/33682/606F												
			· .	8. COM	PLET	TE FOR ACC	COUNTIN	IG PURF		- Durc		,	
FUND					ļ				4		ORMATION / ESTIMATE	D COST:	
DEPARTM					<del> </del>		<del> </del>	<del></del>	None t	by this acti	on.		1
ORGANIZA	<del></del>						<del> </del>		-				
JOB ORDE					<del> </del>		-		-{				
C.I.P. NUM					<u> </u>		<del> </del>		-				,
AMOUNT							<del>                                     </del>	·	$\dashv$				
					10. R	OUTING AN	ND APPR	OVALS					
ROUTE (#)	APPROVING AUTHORITY		APPRO	VAL SIGNATURE		DATE SIGNED	ROUTE (#)		ROVING HORITY	APPI	ROVAL SIGNATURE	DATE	
1	DEPUTY CHIEF	F	WILLIAMAI	DERSON-FAICP		10/24/01	8	coo	4	My GOLD	STONE	10/27	7/0
2	ORIG. DEPT.	<u></u>	CHARLENE I	M. GABRIE	ref	L'E4/8	, 9	CITY AT	TORNEY	c JANA GAR	HAD	116/2	<u>x</u>
. 3	COUNCIL LIAISON	<u> </u>	EN PLANK	\$		10/28/08	10	ORIG. DE	EPT.	CHARLEN	IE M. GABRIEL		
4	FM/CIP		Mel	e Cinu	4	11A/CK							
5	AUDITOR	(	ROG-R	uscotto	,	11/5/08		DOCKET	COORD:		COUNCIL .LIAISON:	:	
6						√	COUNCIL		SPOB	CONSENT	ADOPTION		
7	DSD/EAS		Kune	eth Tra	sley	11/3/08	P	PRESIDEN 1~う		REFER TO: _	COUNCII	LDATE IL /IU/	<u>U</u> 8
11. PREPA	ARATION OF:		RES	SOLUTION(S)	<u>ر</u>	ORDIN	ANCE(S)		AGRE	EEMENT(S)	DEED	(S)	
IIA. STAF	F RECOMMENDA	ATION	S:								,	To The same of the	
See requ	sested actions	and	staff recom	nmendations on	the re	everse side	of this sh $\epsilon$	et.					
12. SPECI.	AL CONDITIONS	S (REF	ER TO A.R. 3	3.20 FOR INFORMA	TION	ON COMPLET	ING THIS SI	ECTION.)					
COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): 1													
COMMUNITY AREA(S): North University City													
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: This plan is a financing measure and is exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c)(3) and Section 15378(b)(4).													
GUIDEL	GUIDELINES:  These financing revisions do not alter the public facilities to be provided in the planning area, as addressed in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) No. 96-7918.												
HOUSIN	IG IMPACT:		There is	s no housing imp	act as	a result of thi	is action.						
<u>CITY CL</u>	CITY CLERK: Send copies of resolutions to Cheryl Robinson, MS 606F. Two weeks prior noticing of Public Hearing by newspaper is required by the City Clerk.												
OTHER ISSUES: Staff will mail notices to property owners who have cash assessments.													
SCHEDULE: Re			Resolut	Resolution of Intention on 11/10/08; Public Hearing at Council on 12/5/08.									

# REQUESTED ACTION:

1) Approve the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan – FY 2009; 2) Adopt a Resolution of Intention to designate an area of benefit for a Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA) in North University City; 3) Adopt a Resolution of Designation for the FBA in North University City; and 4) Approve the setting of Development Impact Fees (DIF) consistent with the FBA in North University City for those developments which have never been assessed or otherwise agreed to pay an FBA; 5) Authorize the City Auditor and Comptroller to modify individual Capital Improvement Budget Program project budgets to reflect this plan.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan - FY 2009; rescind the existing FBA and DIF and establish new FBA and DIF for North University City.

.

08 OCT 30 AMII: 33

WOV 5 4 OR PH 'DR

OCT 3U B 31 AM EV

CHITY CURKK'S OFFICE

CHITY CURKK'S OFFICE

THE CEIVED

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET**

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE ISSUED: REPORT NO:

ATTENTION: Council President and City Council ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: City Planning & Community Investment

SUBJECT: North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan

(PFFP) - Fiscal Year 2009

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): 1

CONTACT/PHONE NUMBER: Cheryl Robinson (619) 533-3679

#### **REQUESTED ACTION:**

1) Approve the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan – Fiscal Year 2009; 2) Adopt a Resolution of Intention to designate an area of benefit for a Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA) in North University City; 3) Adopt a Resolution of Designation for the FBA in North University City;

4) Approve the setting of Development Impact Fees (DIF) consistent with the FBA in North University City for those developments which have never been assessed or otherwise agreed to pay an FBA; and 5) Authorize the City Auditor and Comptroller to modify individual Capital Improvement Project Program budgets to reflect this plan.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan - Fiscal Year 2009; rescind the existing Facilities Benefit Assessments and Development Impact Fees (Attachment #2) and establish new Facilities Benefit Assessment and Development Impact Fees for the North University Community.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The proposed FY 2009 PFFP (Attachment #1) details the public facilities that will be needed through the ultimate development of North University City at full community development, currently estimated to be the year 2015. This plan includes the Westfield University Town Centre (UTC) Development project approved by Council on July 29, 2009.

The proposed financing plan includes the addition of approximately \$28 million in FBA funding as a result of construction and material cost increases, project delays, and the addition of new projects. New projects include an expanded transit center at UTC, a joint use park at University City High School, and two new fire stations, only one of which is funded with FBA at this time. City staff and the UCPG FBA subcommittee's review of the development schedule resulted in a decrease in anticipated development (ADTs), primarily due to the removal of parcels that have no further development rights. The combination of increasing project costs, additional projects, reduction of anticipated ADTs, and reduced revenue due to the downturn in the economy has necessitated an increase of 5% in the assessment rate. Changes that offset potential increases to the fee include replacing FBA funding with Transnet and deferring construction timing assumptions of some facilities.

The following changes are proposed for the assessments of FY 2009:

Land Use	FY 2009 Assessment	Proposed FY 2009 Assessment
Single Family Unit	\$18,581	\$19,510
Multi-Family Unit	\$13,007	\$13,658
Commercial ADTs	\$1,251	\$1,314

#### FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Adoption of the designated area of benefit will continue to provide a funding source for the public facilities identified in the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2009.

#### PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:

None

# COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:

The September 2008 version of the plan incorporating the Westfield/UTC expansion was presented to the UCPG at their September 9, 2008 meeting (please refer to Attachment #4 for more detail). The UCPG approved the April 2008 version of the PFFP by a vote of 12-1-1, with the following caveats (please refer to Attachment #3 for staff response to the motions):

- 1. The \$7.7 million appropriation for the Regents Road Bridge project for FY 2009 must be moved to FY 2010.
- 2. The Limited Roadway Changes (included in Project NUC-18B in financing plan) should not be fully funded with FBA funds.
- 3. The \$4 million for improvements in Rose Canyon should be separated from NUC-18.
- 4. Construction of the new fire station should be moved from FY 2013-2014 to FY 2010.
- 5. In conformance with the community plan amendment, a second fire station should be added to the financing plan and should be funded no later than FY 2012.

This current version of the plan, dated November 2008, will be provided to the group prior to the Council hearing scheduled on November 10, 2008.

#### KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:

All property owners with remaining new development are listed on the draft North University City Assessment Roll, beginning on page 163. These property owners will be mailed a notice of the public hearing and a copy of the financing plan. The owners will have liens placed upon their property and will be required to pay an FBA upon any building permit issuance. Redevelopment that increases the intensity of existing uses may be subject to an impact fee.

Charlenem S Charlene M. Gabriel

Facilities Financing Manager

City Planning & Community Investment

William Anderson, FAICP, Deputy Chief

Operating Officer

Executive Director for City Planning &

Development

- Attachments: 1. North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan Fiscal Year 2009
  - 2. Development Impact Fee Analysis
  - 3. Staff response to UCPG motion and recent plan changes
  - 4. List of Changes from April & September 2008 Drafts

Note: Due to the size of the attachment, only a limited distribution was made. These attachments are available on the City's website and a copy is available in the Office of the City Clerk.

# NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN (Development Impact Fee Analysis)

- 1) What is the purpose of the Impact Fee? The proposed Impact Fees are to ensure that development provides its fair share of funding for community public facilities.
- 2) What is the use to which the fee is to be applied? The Impact Fees will be used to finance transportation, park, fire, and library facilities. A list of the public facilities projects begins on page 21 of the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan.
- 3) What is the reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed? The Impact Fees will be used to provide for a fair share contribution for community infrastructure projects needed to serve both residential and non-residential development based on the increased intensity of their development. Credit will be given for any existing development.
- 4) What is the reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed?
  - Transportation Projects: Both residential development and non-residential development utilize the communities' transportation system which requires various street projects, traffic signal interconnect systems, landscaping and medians.
  - Park and Recreation Projects: Residential development utilizes the communities' parks and improvements are necessary based on the population build-out and the General Plan guidelines to maintain the existing level of service.
  - Fire Projects: Both residential and non-residential developments utilize fire department services and a new station is necessary based on the population build-out to maintain the existing level of service.
  - Library Projects: Residential development utilizes the community libraries. A new library is necessary based on the population build-out and the General Plan guidelines to maintain the existing levels of service.

# NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN Staff Response to UCPG Motions

Three of the five conditions (listed below) requested at the March 11 meeting of the University City Planning Group have been incorporated in the October 2008 draft FY 2009 North University City Financing Plan.

1) **Motion**: The \$7.7 million appropriation for the Regents Road Bridge (Project NUC-18) for FY 2009 must be moved to FY 2010.

Result: Done - \$7 million was moved to FY 2011; \$725,000 remains in FY 2009.

2) Motion: The Limited Roadway Changes should not be fully funded with FBA funds.

**Result**: Done – the project will be funded with Transnet.

3) **Motion**: The \$4 million for improvements in Rose Canyon should be separated from the Regents Road Bridge.

**Result**: Done. The Regents Road Bridge project has been split into three new projects:

- a) NUC-18 Regents Road Bridge
- b) NUC 18A Rose Canyon Improvements
- c) NUC 18B Limited Roadway Changes
- 4) **Motion**: Construction of the new fire station should be moved up from FY 2013-2014 to FY 2010.

**Result**: The fire station in the proposed FY 2009 financing plan will be built by the developer as a condition to and in conjunction with the Costa Verde development. The FBA funds will reimburse the developer after the station is complete which is estimated to be in FY 2013-14. Because this will be the subject of a reimbursement agreement, funding is scheduled at the estimated completion date of the station. However, construction of the Monte Verde project may be delayed until recent legal issues regarding the project have been resolved.

5) **Motion**: In conformance with the community plan amendment, a second fire station should be added to the financing plan and should be funded no later than FY 2012.

**Result**: A second fire station has been added to the financing plan; however, the funding and a building site have not been identified. Should the community plan be amended to delete any projects, any FBA funding for the deleted projects will become the funding source for the fire station.

### NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

# List of Changes from April and September 2008 Drafts

- 1) Added Westfield/UTC Expansion Project to the development schedule.
  - 250 units in FY 2013
  - 16,500 ADTs (8,250 ADTs in FY 2010; 8,250 ADTs in FY 2012)
- 2) Added three new CIP projects
  - \$4 million (reduced from \$5 million in FBA) for a Transit Center per July 29, 2008 Council direction (FY 2010 reimbursement to Westfield)
  - \$2.975 million (FBA) for a University City High School joint use park project (FY 2012)
  - \$10.6 million (no FBA funding at this time) for a second new fire station
- 3) Genesee Avenue Widen I-5 Overcrossing (NUC-24) Moved timing from FY 2013-14 to FY 2010 due to anticipated receipt of \$362 million in matching State funds that fiscal year.
- 4) Returned 3,413 ADTs (primarily City-owned parcels) to the development schedule.
- 5) Cash flow and development schedule:
  - The development that was originally estimated in the April 2008 draft for FY 2008 was pushed back to FY 2009. The new draft plan reflects actual to date versus estimated development (181 ADTs, zero residential units).
  - The 560-unit Monte Verde project was pushed back one year, from FY 2009-10 to FY 2010-11, due to legal issues.
  - The Westfield/UTC expansion project was pushed back one year as developer does not anticipate pulling permits until FY 2010.
  - Funding for the Regents Road Bridge was pushed back one year, to FY 2012.

(R-2009-538) (A) (2nd hy)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R	
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DESIGNATING AN AREA OF BENEFIT IN NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY AND THE BOUNDARIES THEREOF, CONFIRMING THE DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECTS. THE COMMUNITY FINANCING PLAN AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECTS, THE METHOD FOR APPORTIONING THE COSTS OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECTS AMONG THE PARCELS WITHIN THE AREA OF BENEFIT AND THE AMOUNT OF THE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS CHARGED TO EACH SUCH PARCEL, THE BASIS AND METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING AND LEVYING DISCRETIONARY AUTOMATIC ANNUAL INCREASES IN FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS, AND PROCEEDINGS THERETO, AND ORDERING OF PROPOSED PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECT IN THE MATTER OF ONE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT AREA.

in the Report to be made, the extent of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit proposed to be designated, and/or to the proposed facilities benefit assessments to be levied upon parcels within the area of benefit; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk has given notices of the passage of the Resolution of Intention, Resolution No. R-\_\_\_\_\_\_, and of the time and place and purpose of the hearing, all as required by Section 61.2205 of the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk has filed with the Council an affidavit setting forth the time and manner of the compliance with the requirements of the Ordinance for publishing and mailing of the notices; and

WHEREAS, at the time and place stated in the notices, a hearing was duly held by the Council; and during the course of the hearing, the Report was duly presented and considered, and all written protests and objections received, if any, were duly presented, read, heard and considered, and all persons appearing at the hearing and desiring to be heard in the manner of the Report were heard; and a full, fair and completed hearing has been had; and the Council is fully informed in the premises; and

WHEREAS, the Council has examined and considered the community financing plan and capital improvement program with respect to the proposed Public Facilities Projects; the boundaries of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit; the description of the Public Facilities Projects; the estimated costs for the construction of the Public Facilities Projects and the method pursuant to which the costs are to be apportioned among the parcels within the area of benefit; the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel; the basis and methodology upon which automatic annual increases in facilities benefit assessments will be computed, assessed and levied, without the necessity for further proceeding;

the amount of the contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost, all as proposed in the Report, and the proceedings prior thereto, and the evidence presented at the hearing;

WHEREAS, the North University City community is near build out, and the goal of the North University City FBA is to ensure sufficient funds are available to provide needed community public facilities; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

- 1. The hearing has been duly held, and each and every step in the proceedings prior to and including the hearing has been duly and regularly taken. The Council is satisfied with the correctness of the Report, including the community financing plan and capital improvement program with respect to the Public Facilities Projects; the boundaries or the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit; the description of the Public Facilities Projects; the costs for the construction of the Public Facilities Projects, and the method pursuant to which the costs are to be apportioned among the parcel within the area of benefit; the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel; the basis and methodology upon which automatic annual increases in facilities benefit assessments will be computed, assessed and levied; and the amount of the contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost; and any and all protests, objections or appeals are overruled and denied. The Council does confirm the proceedings and the Report.
- 2. The Council orders the proposed Public Facilities Projects to be undertaken and completed as described in the Report and these proceedings.
- 3. The Council does confirm and approve the community financing plan and capital improvement program with respect to the Public Facilities Projects as set forth in the Report.

- 4. The Council does confirm and fix the total costs of the Public Facilities Projects financed out of facilities benefit assessments to be the amount shown in the Report, i.e. \$251,781,425.
- 5. The Council does confirm and fix the boundaries of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit as shown on the diagram of the area of benefit contained in the Report.
- 6. The Council does confirm and approve of the method pursuant to which the costs of the Public Facilities Projects are to be apportioned among the parcels within the area of benefit as set forth in the Report and confirms and fixes the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel to be the amounts shown in the Report subject to automatic annual increases pursuant to paragraphs 7 and 8 of this Resolution.
- 7. The Council does confirm, approve and direct that all fees due under the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009, shall be those fees in effect at the time the building permit is issued.
- 8. The Council does confirm and approve the basis and methodology upon which automatic annual increases in facilities benefit assessments will be computed, assessed and levied, without the necessity for further proceeding as proposed in Resolution No.

  R-\_\_\_\_\_\_ of the Council and the Report. It shall be the duty and obligation of the City Planning & Community Investment Department to make the computations and determinations pursuant to this Section of this Resolution.
- 9. The Council does confirm and fix the amount of the contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost to be the amount shown in the Report.

10. The City Planning & Community Investment Department is authorized and directed to prepare a map of the boundaries of the area of benefit based on this Resolution and to file the map with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Section 61.2209(a) of the Ordinance. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to record the map in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Diego.

11. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to execute and record a Notice of Assessment in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Diego in accordance with the provisions of Section 61.2209(b) of the Ordinance.

12. The Auditor and Comptroller is authorized and directed to transfer, appropriate and expend the subject FBA funds as necessary to ensure timely payment of all North University City public facilities projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this activity is not subject to CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c)(3) & 15378(b)(4).

-PAGE 5 OF 6-

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

Ву

Jana, L. Garmo

Deputy City Attorney

MG:als 11/04/08

Or.Dept:Planning & Community

R-2009-538 MMS#6955

Diego, at this meeting of	solution was passed by the Council of the City of S
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	By Deputy City Clerk
Approved:(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

(R-2009-539) (2 hd hg)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R	
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO APPROVING THE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE FOR PROPERTIES WITHIN NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, all fees due under the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009, shall be those fees in effect at the time the building permit is issued.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Docket Supporting Information [Report to the City Council No. \_\_\_\_\_], including all exhibits and attachments thereto, and the text contained in the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-\_\_\_\_\_, is incorporated by reference into this Resolution as support and justification for satisfaction of findings required pursuant to California Government Code

sections 66001(a) and 66001(b) for imposition of DIFs. Specifically, it is determined and found that this documentation:

- 1. Identifies the purpose of the DIF;
- 2. Identifies the use to which the DIF is to be put;
- 3. Demonstrates how there is a reasonable relationship between the DIF's use and the type of development project on which the DIF is imposed; and
- 4. Demonstrates how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the DIF is imposed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Auditor and Comptroller is authorized to modify individual Capital Improvement Budget Program project budgets to reflect this plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this activity is not subject to CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c)(3) & 15378(b)(4).

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

By

Jana Ł. Garmo

Deputy City Attorney

JLG:als 11/04/08

Or.Dept:Planning & Community

· R-2009-539

MMS#6955

Diego, at this meeting of	esolution was passed by the Council of the City of Sa
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	By Deputy City Clerk
Approved: (date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

# CLERK'S FILE COTIC

(R-2009-536) (A)

FB-1 (2009)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 304343

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE NOV 1 3 2008

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN. DIEGO APPROVING THE NORTH UNIVERSITY CITY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN AND FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT, FISCAL YEAR 2009

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that it approves the document titled, "North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009," a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR- 304343

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, all fees due under the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009, shall be those fees in effect at the time the building permit is issued.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this activity is not subject to CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c)(3) & 15378(b)(4).

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

Ву

Jana L. Garmo

Deputy City Attorney

JLG:als 11/04/08 Or.Dept:Planning R-2009-536 MMS#6955

	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	By HULLUMCUCK Deputy City Clerk
Approved: 17-13-07	Deputy City Clerk
(date)	JERRY SAMDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:	
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of <u>NOV 1 0 2008</u>.

# CLERK'S FILE COPY (

(R-2009-537) (B)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 304344

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE NOV 1 3 2008

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO DESIGNATE AN AREA OF BENEFIT IN UNIVERSITY CITY AND SETTING THE TIME AND PLACE FOR HOLDING A PUBLIC HEARING THEREON.

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of San Diego [Council] desires to initiate proceedings for the designation of a facilities benefit assessment area of benefit in North University City pursuant to Ordinance No. 15318 (New Series) of the Council [Ordinance] which area of benefit will specially benefit from the acquisition, improvement and construction of certain public improvements more particularly described in the document titled, "North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009," [Report]; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor, with the assistance of the City Planning & Community

Investment Department, made and filed with the City Clerk, the Report, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-304343; and

WHEREAS, the Council has examined and considered the Report, including the community financing plan and capital improvement program with respect to the proposed public facilities projects; the proposed boundaries of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit; the general description of the public facilities projects; the estimated costs for the construction of said public facilities projects; the method pursuant to which the costs are to be apportioned among the parcels within the area of benefit and the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel; the basis and methodology by which automatic

annual increases in the facilities benefit assessment will be computed, assessed and levied pursuant to Section 61.2212 of the Ordinance; and the amount of the contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost of the public facilities projects; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

- 1. The Council declares its intention to designate an area of benefit within North University City to finance the cost of the public facilities projects pursuant to the Ordinance.
- 2. The Council is satisfied with the correctness of the Report, including, without limitation, the community finance plan and capital improvement program with respect to said public facilities projects; the proposed boundaries of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit; the description of the public facilities projects; the estimated costs for the construction of the public facilities projects; the method pursuant to which the costs are to be apportioned among the parcels within the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit, and the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel; the basis and methodology by which automatic increases in the facilities benefit assessments will be computed, assessed and levied pursuant to Section 61.2212 of the Ordinance; and the amount of the contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost of the public facilities projects. The Council does confirm and approve the Report.
- The public facilities projects will be undertaken and completed as described in the Report.
- 4. The community financing plan and capital improvement program with respect to the public facilities projects will be as set forth in the Report.

- 5. The boundaries of the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit will be as set forth in the Report.
- 6. The method pursuant to which the cost of the public facilities project is to be apportioned among the parcels within the facilities benefit assessment area of benefit and the amount of the facilities benefit assessments which will be charged to each such parcel will be as set forth in the Report.
- 7. The Council determines it necessary to provide for automatic increases in the amount of facilities benefit assessments for landowners who have not paid their facilities benefit assessments without the necessity for further proceeding pursuant to Section 61.2212 of the Ordinance in order to reflect increases in the cost of money during the period between the imposition of the facilities benefit assessment, the payment of facilities benefit assessments and completion of the public facilities projects. The Council further determines that the basis and methodology for computing such increases set forth in the Report is reasonable and appropriate in order to provide an equitable distribution of the cost of the public facilities projects to community developers and the ultimate purchasers of developed properties.
- 8. All fees due under the North University City Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment, Fiscal Year 2009, shall be those fees in effect at the time the building permit is issued.
- 9. The amount of contribution or advance which the City or other public entity will make toward the total cost of the public facilities project will be as set forth in the Report.
- 10. The Council shall hold a public hearing to consider the designation of the area of benefit as proposed in this resolution at 10:00 a.m. on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008, at the Council Chambers, 202 C Street, San Diego, California.

11. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to give notice of the public hearing described in paragraph 10 of this resolution by publishing this Resolution in the "San Diego Daily Transcript" at least two weeks before the date set forth herein and by mailing copies of this resolution to each owner of property located within the proposed area of benefit at the addresses shown on the last equalized property tax assessment roll, or as otherwise known to the City Clerk pursuant to Section 61.2205 of the Ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this activity is not subject to CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c)(3) & 15378(b)(4).

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

Ву

Íana L. Garmo

Deputy City Attorney

MG:als 11/03/08

Or. Dept:Planning & Community

R-2009-537

MMS#6955

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was Diego, at this meeting of NOV 10 2008.	passed by the Council of the City of San
·	
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND
•	City Clerk
	By fala Maran
	Députy City Clerk
Approved: 11-13-08	JSL
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:	
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

Council Docket Item 204, November 10, 2008

Council President Peters and Members of the City Council

My name is Robert Munn. My wife and I live in South University City, about a block from Genesee Avenue. We can hear the desperate sounds of emergency vehicles, fighting to reach or pass through this area during periods of peak traffic on Genesee. These delays have become critical!

As you know, in recent years this has become a major public safety Crisis! Genesee is overwhelmed twice every weekday, and the emergency responders often have to take unacceptable personal risks in driving on the wrong side of the street to reach their destinations, whether it be a hospital, medical victim, a fire, or a police emergency.

The ten pages attached to this letter are from a document prepared, I believe, by Council Member Maienschein in October last year. They contain the addresses of all those whose homes were destroyed by the wildfire in Rancho Bernardo. Some of those homeowners did not survive the fire. The rest and many others lost most or all of their belongings. If you do not believe that an adequate evacuation route is critical, just ask any of those residents who had to flee for their lives.

Updating this North University City FBA is essential toward the future safety of the University City area, by guaranteeing adequate infrastructure funding, including that for the building of the Regents Road Bridge. The Bridge is the only possible solution to the need for an alternate evacuation route, and strongly supported by our Mayor and our principal Public Safety officers, the Chief's of the San Diego Police and Fire/Rescue Departments.

This FBA Update is more than a year overdue, and project costs continue to rise. Please do not allow the city to accept any further costly delays orchestrated by the bridge opponents' frantic attempts. Do not allow this update to be held over into 2009.

Please vote to move ahead with this update. Every day counts when human lives are at stake!

Thank you.

# List of Homes Destroyed in Rancho Bernardo

- \*\*This list was compiled by my office and is not an official listing of all structures lost. We will continue to update this list as more information becomes available.
- \*\*The Eastview development is currently being evaluated and will be added to the list shortly.

## Aceituna Street.

# Agreste:

#### Aguacate Way:

#### Aguamiel:

```
Address not visible house across from 17850 & next to 17849
17841
17838
17825
17814
Address not visible across from 17808 & next to 17803
17794
17786
17778
Aguamarina Pt.
13033
Agusto Way
12929
12938
12949
12958
Between 12938 & 12958
12968
12969
12988
Alcade:
11476
11460
Aliento:
11486
11463
11462
11454
11457
11512
11513
11523
11533
11552
Andanza:
11656
11666
11676
```

# **Augustus Place**

Address not visible btwn 12714 & 12723

#### Ave. Cordilla

#### Azofar

#### Azucar:

Address not visible house btwn 17849 & 17865

17864 (Extensive Damage)

#### Bedfordshire Court:

#### Bellechase Circle:

#### Bernardo Trails Court

#### Bernardo Trails Drive:

#### **Bravata Court**

```
18828
18808
12888 (Partial)
```

#### Cabela Place

# Cabela Drive:

No address visible btwn 18013 & 17995

# Calle Estapona

# Caminito Ramillette

# Canfield Place:

# Capilla:

11279 (partial)

#### Chetenham Lane:

# Chieftan:

# **Cloudsly Drive:**

12535 partial

12595 (partial)

# Cmto Pasadero:

18791 #73

18721 #150 & 148

# Corazon:

# Corte de Aceitunos

# Creciente Way:

# **Creciente Court:**

## Danza Circle:

No address visible btwn 11527 & 11534

## Duenda:

11448 (partial)

Address not visible next to 11449 & across from 11458

#### Escoba:

#### Haden Hall Court:

# Hampshire Lane:

# Ipai Court:

# Jocatel:

#### Lancashire:

```
18520
18539
18551
18545
18560
18576
Address not visible btwn 18560 & 18576
18584
18587 (partial)
18617
18635
18641
18647
18653
18673
18683
Address not visible btwn 18673 & 18683
18690
18682
Address not visible btwn 18682 & 18666
18658
18650
18642
18634
18626
Address not visible btwn 18618 & 18602
18564
18576
Address not visible btwn 18576 & 18560
18560
Address not visible btwn 18275 & 18258
Lincolnshire Street:
18344
18336
18328
Locksley Street:
18588
18580
Address not visible btwn 18627 & 18611
18643
18642
18667
18683
```

```
18697
18682
18666
18658
Lunada Place
12898
12850
12841
```

# Lunada Point

#### Luz Place:

Address not visible to left of 11372

#### Luz Road:

# Matinal Drive:

# **Moon Song:**

# Olmeda Court

Between 13014 & 13023

#### Olmeda Place

# Pajaro:

# Palito Court:

11485(partial)

# Address not visible to the right of 11534 Address not visible across from 11479

#### Poblado Road:

\*Some units burned in La Terraza - unable to confirm units.

#### Polvera Ave

Between 12930 & 12958

. . . . . .

### **Polvera Court**

12922 (Partial)

#### Polvera Drive

# Polvera Way

#### Pueblo Vista:

# Robleda Court:

# Robleda Cove:

12958 (partial)

# Shopshire Lane:

Address not visible between 12587 &12559

# Sun Maiden:

#### Valladares:

Address not visible to left of 18107

#### Via Terifa

# Weaving Lane:

### Wessex Street: